

Ultrasonic Attenuation in Clean d-Wave Superconductors

Tribikram Gupta¹ and D. M. Gaitonde²

¹*Harish-Chandra Research Institute,*

Chhatnag Road, Jhusi, Allahabad 211019, India

²*High Pressure Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai 400085, India*

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

Abstract

We calculate the low temperature longitudinal ultrasonic attenuation rate α_S in clean d-wave superconductors. We consider the contribution of previously ignored processes involving the excitation of a pair of quasi-holes or quasi-particles. These processes, which are forbidden by energy conservation in conventional s-wave superconductors, have a finite phase space in d-wave superconductors due to the presence of nodes in the gap which give rise to soft low-energy electronic excitations. We find the contribution to α_S from these processes to be proportional to T in the regime $k_B T \ll Q v_\Delta \ll \Delta_0$, (ultra-low temperature regime) and to be proportional to $1/T$ in the region $Q v_F \ll k_B T \ll \Delta_0$, (low temperature regime) where $\mathbf{Q}$ is the ultrasound wave-vector and Δ_0 is the maximum gap amplitude. We explicitly evaluate these terms, for parameters appropriate to the cuprates, for $\mathbf{Q}$ along the nodal and the antinodal directions and compare it with the contribution from processes considered earlier (I. Vekhter et al *Phys. Rev.* **B59**, 7123(1999)). In the ultra-low temperature regime, the processes considered by us make a contribution which is smaller by about a factor of 10 for $\mathbf{Q}$ along the nodal direction, while along the antinodal direction it is larger by a factor of 100 or so. In the low temperature regime on the other hand the contribution made by these terms is small. However taken together with the original terms we describe a possible way to evaluate the parameter v_F/v_Δ .

PACS numbers: 74.20.Rp; 74.25.Ld

Ultrasonic attenuation has been an important probe in the understanding of BCS superconductors. One of the earliest verifications of the temperature dependence of the gap¹, predicted by BCS theory, was made by measuring the ultrasonic attenuation co-efficient (UAC). Thus, it is expected to shed light on the nature of the superconducting state in the cuprates as well. The calculation of the low temperature attenuation rate in d-wave superconductors has been considered earlier, both within the clean limit^{2,3,4} and in the opposite hydrodynamic limit^{5,6}. Even earlier ultrasonic attenuation in anisotropic superconductors has received attention both in the clean⁷ and in the dirty^{8,9,10,11} limits. On the experimental front, there exists just the one experiment¹² but the results of our work, which have been obtained in the limit $Ql \gg 1$, that is the clean limit (where Q is the ultrasound wave-vector and l is the electronic mean free path) are inapplicable there. Assuming the ultrasound frequency to be 150 MHz, which lies in the range in which typical ultrasound experiments^{13,14} are done, and the sound velocity¹² to be $4 \times 10^5 m/s$, the clean limit² corresponds to the condition $l \gg 4\mu m$. Thus our calculations are applicable only to the cleanest samples¹⁵. High purity YBCO crystals have been fabricated in $BaZrO_3$ crucibles¹⁶ having $l \sim 4\mu m$, giving rise to hope that the clean limit may be experimentally realizable.

There exists evidence¹⁷ that although the normal state in the cuprates remains a puzzle in the absence of well-defined quasi particles, the superconducting state is well-described by BCS-like quasi particles suitably adapted to the symmetry of the order parameter in the system. Further, experimental evidence largely points towards a d-wave order parameter¹⁸ in the high T_c materials. The layered nature of the cuprate superconductors together with strong electronic correlation leads to a highly anisotropic electronic transport in the normal state, even though the superconducting state has three-dimensional phase coherence due to inter planar Josephson coupling. However most superconducting properties can be described by assuming 2-d BCS quasi-particles that have an energy gap with a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ symmetry. For the purposes of this paper we assume that the d-wave superconducting quasi-particle energy dispersion to be phenomenological.

The quasi particles have a gap function that has nodes on the Fermi surface at the points $k_x = \pm k_y$. At low energies, the relevant low energy electronic excitations, are the Dirac quasiparticles near these nodes. These nodal quasi-particles have energies that are well described by $E_{\mathbf{k}}^0 = \sqrt{v_F^2 k_1^2 + v_{\Delta}^2 k_2^2}$. This Dirac spectrum is easily obtained¹⁹ by a linear expansion of the band energy and gap about the nodes of the single particle excitation

spectrum. Here k_1 and k_2 are the momentum components normal and tangential to the Fermi surface at the nodal points. While it is possible to obtain such a quasiparticle spectrum from a suitably chosen microscopic Hamiltonian^{4,20} in this work we treat v_F and v_Δ as phenomenological parameters whose values should be read from experiments. Because of the dominance of the band energy scale $W > \Delta_0$, where W is the band width and Δ_0 is the maximum amplitude of the superconducting gap, $\frac{v_F}{v_\Delta}$ is large and is approximately 10 for parameters relevant to the cuprates.

The UAC is the inverse of the length obtained by a product of the sound velocity and the phonon life-time and sets the scale over which an ultrasonic wave decays while propagating in the solid. The temperature dependent variation of the sound velocity is very weak in the temperature range of interest to us. We therefore calculate only the dependence of the phonon life-time on temperature. In general the damping of sound waves in the lattice can arise due to various excitations in the system. Here we focus exclusively on the damping of phonons due to their interaction with electrons. We ignore the contribution of anharmonic terms in the phonon Hamiltonian, as these are unaffected by the onset of superconductivity. Thus, assuming the usual electron-phonon coupling, the UAC is proportional to the imaginary part of the electronic density-density correlation function.

A calculation of the low temperature UAC in d-wave superconductors was first performed by Vekhter et. al.² and generalized to the orthorhombic situation by Wu and Carbotte³. They obtained an attenuation rate which is proportional to the temperature at $T \ll \Delta_0$. To obtain a result that is independent of the poorly known electron-phonon coupling constant, they normalise their result by dividing the low temperature attenuation rate ($\alpha_S(T)$) by the attenuation rate at T_c . In order to obtain a result analytically for $\alpha_S(T_c)$, it is necessary to assume a parabolic band dispersion. It is by now well-established²¹ that the Fermi surface in the cuprates deviates strongly from circular symmetry. Thus there is an unknown, Fermi surface sensitive wave vector dependence in $\alpha_S(T_c)$, which has been missed. A fundamental drawback of their calculation is that the use of a quasiparticle description at T_c is itself questionable given the anomalous nature of the normal state in the cuprates.

Apart from these questions, all previous studies of the UAC in references Refs.(2,3,4,7) ignore the contribution of processes corresponding to the creation of a pair of quasi particles or a pair of quasi holes. In conventional s-wave superconductors, where the condition $\Omega \ll \Delta$ is satisfied, energy conservation forbids these processes. However, in d-wave superconductors

the nodes in the energy gap imply that there is a finite phase space for these processes. In Ref.(3) it is claimed that phase space restrictions make the contributions accruing to the UAC from here negligibly small. In this paper we explicitly evaluate the contributions of these processes in the low temperature regime. At ultra low temperatures ($k_B T \ll Q v_\Delta \ll \Delta_0$) where Q is the ultrasound wave-vector, v_F is the Fermi velocity at the nodes and Δ_0 is the maximum amplitude of the superconducting gap, we find that there is a term in α_S linear in T from these processes in addition to a similar contribution evaluated earlier by Vekhter et. al. However, the co-efficient of the linear in T term, in this case is found to be, for parameters appropriate to the cuprates, larger by a factor of 100 for sound wave along the antinodal direction. In the nodal direction these new terms provide corrections that are smaller by a factor of 10.

The other regime we consider is $Q v_F \ll k_B T \ll \Delta_0$ and here we find the contribution to the UAC from these previously neglected processes is proportional to $1/T$. Using the ratios of the co-efficients of the $1/T$ contribution calculated by us and the linear in T contribution evaluated in Ref.(2) for two different ultrasound wave-vectors $\mathbf{Q}_1$ and $\mathbf{Q}_2$ it is possible to determine the ratio v_F/v_Δ which is a basic parameter in the description of the superconducting state in the cuprates.

The imaginary part of the density-density response function is given by $\chi''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega) = \chi_a''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega) + \chi_b''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega)$. Here $\chi_a''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega) = X1 - X2$ and $\chi_b''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega) = X3 - X4$, where

$$X1 = \frac{-2\pi}{N} \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}} [n(E_{\mathbf{k}}) - n(E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}})] (u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}^2 u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}}{4E_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}}) \delta(\Omega + E_{\mathbf{k}} - E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}), \quad (1)$$

$$X2 = \frac{-2\pi}{N} \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}} [n(E_{\mathbf{k}}) - n(E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}})] (v_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}^2 v_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}}{4E_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}}) \delta(\Omega - E_{\mathbf{k}} + E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}) \quad (2)$$

$$X3 = \frac{-2\pi}{N} \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}} [1 - n(E_{\mathbf{k}}) - n(E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}})] (u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}^2 v_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}}{4E_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}}) \delta(\Omega - E_{\mathbf{k}} - E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}), \quad (3)$$

and

$$X4 = \frac{-2\pi}{N} \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}} [1 - n(E_{\mathbf{k}}) - n(E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}})] (v_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}^2 u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}}{4E_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}}) \delta(\Omega + E_{\mathbf{k}} + E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}). \quad (4)$$

To proceed further, we retain terms only to the leading order in $\mathbf{Q}$ and Ω . To this order χ_a'' reduces to

$$\chi_a'' = \frac{-2\pi\Omega}{N} \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}} n'(E_{\mathbf{k}}) \delta(\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{v}_g) \frac{\xi_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{E_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \quad (5)$$

where $\vec{v}_g = \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}}$.

This term has been calculated earlier by the authors of Ref.(2). For $k_B T \ll \Delta_0$, it yields

$$\chi_a'' = \frac{-4 \ln 2 \Omega a^2 k_B T}{2\pi v_F v_\Delta} \left[\frac{\beta^2}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\lambda^2}{(\lambda^2 + \eta^2)^{3/2}} \right] \quad (6)$$

Here $\alpha = v_F Q \cos(\pi/4 - \theta)$, $\beta = v_\Delta Q \sin(\pi/4 - \theta)$, $\eta = v_F Q \sin(\pi/4 - \theta)$ and $\lambda = v_\Delta Q \cos(\pi/4 - \theta)$. We now turn our attention to the remaining contribution to χ'' which comes from the terms in Eqs. (3) and (4). In conventional s-wave superconductors we have $\Omega \ll 2\Delta$ and so the energy conserving delta function in Eqs. (3) and (4) can never be satisfied. Thus $\chi_b''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega) = 0$ in that case. However, as mentioned earlier, the presence of nodes in the gap function leads to a finite contribution from $\chi_b''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega)$ in d-wave superconductors. It has always been assumed in previous work^{2,3,4,7,22,23} that the phase space available for the processes described by this term (creation or annihilation of a pair of quasi particles) would be small and so its contribution has been ignored. In this paper we explicitly evaluate this term. We find that its contribution is large and cannot be ignored at ultra low ($T \ll 1mK$) temperatures. In the low temperature regime ($1mK \ll T \ll \Delta_0/k_B$) although the relative size of the terms evaluated by us is small its different ($1/T$) temperature dependence enables the determination of the parameter v_F/v_Δ by measuring the attenuation rate at 2 different angles. These are the principal results of this paper.

We now present our calculation of $\chi_b''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega)$. We work in units of lattice constant $a = 1$. To linear order in Ω , we find

$$\chi_b''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega) \cong \frac{2\pi\Omega}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} n'(E_{\mathbf{k}}) (u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}^2 v_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + v_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}^2 u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}}{2E_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}}) \delta(E_{\mathbf{k}} + E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}) \quad (7)$$

To leading order in Q , χ_b'' further reduces to

$$\chi_b''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega) \cong \frac{2\pi}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Omega n'(E_{\mathbf{k}}) \delta(2E_{\mathbf{k}} + \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{v}_g) \left(\frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}}{E_{\mathbf{k}}} \right)^2 \quad (8)$$

Linearizing the band energies and the gap function at the nodes¹⁹ which lie at the intersection of the lines $k_x = \pm k_y$ with the Fermi surface, we find that $\xi_{\mathbf{k}} \approx v_F k_1$, $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = v_\Delta k_2$ and $E_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{v_F^2 k_1^2 + v_\Delta^2 k_2^2}$ where k_1 and k_2 are the components of the momenta normal and tangential to the Fermi surface at the nodes. We take $\mathbf{Q} = Q \cos \theta \hat{x} + Q \sin \theta \hat{y}$. Going over to polar coordinates ($v_F k_1 = \rho \cos \phi$ and $v_\Delta k_2 = \rho \sin \phi$) we find that for the node near $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$, $\mathbf{v}_g \cdot \mathbf{Q} = \alpha \cos \phi + \beta \sin \phi$.

In comparison to the corresponding contribution for χ_a'' an extra term 2ρ appears in the argument of the delta function in Eqs.(3) and (4). Finally we obtain for the node near

$(\pi/2, \pi/2)$,

$$\chi_{b1}''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega) = \frac{\Omega}{2\pi v_F v_\Delta} \int_0^{\tilde{\rho}_c} d\rho \rho n'(\rho) \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \delta(2\rho + \alpha \cos\phi + \beta \sin\phi) \sin^2\phi \quad (9)$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_c = \sqrt{\pi v_F v_\Delta}$ is a cutoff chosen to preserve the volume of the Brillouin zone. We perform the ϕ integral first. The condition that the zeros of $2\rho + \alpha \cos\phi + \beta \sin\phi$ are real together with the requirement that $\cos^2\phi_0^\pm \leq 1$ (where $\phi_0^\pm$ are the 2 independent zeroes in the range $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$) leads to the constraint $\rho \leq \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}}{2} = \rho_c$. Assuming $Q \approx 400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $v_\Delta/v_F \approx \frac{1}{k_F \xi} \approx 1/10$ for the cuprates we find that $\rho_c \ll \tilde{\rho}_c$. Thus the contribution to χ_b'' from this node can be written as

$$\chi_{b1}''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega) = \frac{\Omega}{2\pi v_F v_\Delta} \int_0^{\rho_c} d\rho \rho n'(\rho) \frac{\frac{8\rho^2(\beta^2 - \alpha^2)}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2} + \frac{2\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 4\rho^2)^{1/2}} \quad (10)$$

The change of the upper cutoff from $\tilde{\rho}_c$ to ρ_c is the phase space reduction referred to by the authors of Ref.3.

We evaluate the expression in Eq.(10) in two regimes. a) $k_B T \ll Q v_\Delta \ll \Delta_0$ and b) $Q v_F \ll k_B T \ll \Delta_0$. The maximum value of ρ_c is $\rho_c(\text{max}) = v_F Q/2$ and minimum value of ρ_c is $\rho_c(\text{min}) = v_\Delta Q/2$. We have taken the temperature regimes to be either a) much less than $\rho_c(\text{min})$ or b) much greater than $\rho_c(\text{max})$.

We approximate the derivative of the Fermi distribution so as to simplify the integration and render it doable analytically. We notice that $n'(\rho) \sim -1/4k_B T$ for $\rho/2k_B T \ll 1$ and $n'(\rho) \sim \frac{-e^{-\rho/k_B T}}{k_B T}$ for $\rho/2k_B T \gg 1$. We therefore make the interpolating approximation $n'(\rho) \approx -1/4k_B T$ for $\rho < 2k_B T$ and $n'(\rho) \approx \frac{-e^{-\rho/k_B T}}{k_B T}$ for $\rho > 2k_B T$. Our approximation is asymptotically exact and is therefore expected to provide reasonable answers in the 2 limits ($k_B T \ll \rho_c \ll \Delta_0$ and $\rho_c \ll k_B T \ll \Delta_0$) considered by us.

We first consider the ultra low temperature regime $k_B T \ll Q v_\Delta$. For typical parameters appropriate to the cuprates and assuming $Q \approx 400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ this condition implies $k_B T \ll 1 \text{ mK}$. In this case χ_{b1}'' can be split into 2 pieces and can be written as

$$\chi_{b1}''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega) \cong (-1/4k_B T) \frac{\Omega}{\pi v_F v_\Delta} [I_1 + I_2] \quad (11)$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_0^{2k_B T} d\rho \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 4\rho^2}} \left(\frac{4\rho^2(\beta^2 - \alpha^2)}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \right) \quad (12)$$

and

$$I_2 = 4 \int_{2k_B T}^{\rho_c} d\rho \frac{\rho e^{-\frac{\rho}{k_B T}}}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 4\rho^2}} \left(\frac{4\rho^2(\beta^2 - \alpha^2)}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \right) \quad (13)$$

The integral in I_1 is elementary. To evaluate I_2 we further make the approximation $\int_l^u dx e^{-x} f(x) \approx e^{-l} \int_l^{\min(l+1, u)} dx f(x)$ (for $u > l > 0$) and where $f(x)$ is a slowly varying function compared to e^{-x} . We similarly evaluate the contributions of the other three nodes as well. The final answer is a long expression and in the interest of clarity and brevity we only present the leading term in an expansion in the small parameter $\frac{k_B T}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}}$. To this leading order we find

$$\chi_b'' = \frac{-k_B T \Omega}{\pi v_F v_\Delta} (1 + 5e^{-2}) \left[\frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\eta^2}{(\eta^2 + \lambda^2)^{3/2}} \right] \quad (14)$$

It is instructive to compare this result with the processes considered earlier in Eq.(6). We find that upto factors of $O(1)$, the result is the same with $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$ and $\lambda \leftrightarrow \eta$. This change comes from the different factor $\frac{\Delta_k^2}{E_k^2} \sim \sin^2 \phi$ in Eq. (8) as compared to $\frac{\xi_k^2}{E_k^2} \sim \cos^2 \phi$ in Eq. (5). Also notice that at ultralow temperatures the dimensionless cutoff to the integral $\rho_c/k_B T \gg 1$ so that the phase-space reduction due to the replacement of $\tilde{\rho}_c$ by ρ_c is ineffective as most of the contribution to the integral comes from the region where $\rho/k_B T \ll \rho_c/k_B T$. To get an idea of the relative size of the contribution of the processes considered by us with respect to those considered earlier by Vekhter et. al.² we have evaluated $\frac{\chi_b''}{\chi_a''}$ in the ultra low temperature regime for **Q** directed along a node and an antinode respectively. In the nodal case we have $\theta = \pi/4$, $\alpha = v_F Q$, $\beta = \eta = 0$ and $\lambda = v_\Delta Q$. Then from Eqs. (6) and (14) we find that

$$\frac{\chi_b''}{\chi_a''} = \frac{(1 + 5e^{-2}) v_\Delta}{2 \ln 2 v_F} \quad (15)$$

Thus the contribution from these terms is smaller by a factor of $v_\Delta/v_F \sim 1/10$. For the antinodal direction we have $\theta = 0$, $\alpha = \eta = v_F Q/\sqrt{2}$, $\beta = \lambda = v_\Delta Q/\sqrt{2}$. Putting these values in Eqs. (6) and (14) we have

$$\frac{\chi_b''}{\chi_a''} = \frac{(1 + 5e^{-2}) v_F^2}{2 \ln 2 v_\Delta^2} \quad (16)$$

Thus the ratio in this case is approximately 100 and so in this case it is the processes calculated by us that make the dominant contribution.

We now proceed to the evaluation of χ_b'' in the limit $Q v_F \ll k_B T \ll \Delta_0$. In this case, we have $n'(\rho) \simeq -1/4 k_B T$ over the entire range of ρ integration from 0 to $\tilde{\rho}_c$. Thus evaluating and summing the contribution to χ_b'' from each of the nodes we arrive at the result

$$\chi_b'' = -\frac{\Omega}{24 \pi v_F v_\Delta} \frac{1}{k_B T} \left(\frac{\alpha^2 + 2\beta^2}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{\lambda^2 + 2\eta^2}{(\lambda^2 + \eta^2)^{1/2}} \right) \quad (17)$$

In this case the relative size of χ_b'' with respect to χ_a'' is very small $O((Qv_F/k_BT)^2)$. However we now show how our result may be used to extract the parameter $\frac{v_F}{v_\Delta}$. The total imaginary part of the density-density correlation function can be written as

$$\chi_{total}''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega) = \chi_a''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega) + \chi_b''(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega) = \gamma_1(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega)T + \frac{\gamma_2(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega)}{T} \quad (18)$$

where γ_1 and γ_2 are coefficients whose values are given in Eqs.(6) and (17). Thus the UAC is given by

$$\alpha_S(\mathbf{Q}, T) = M(\mathbf{Q})[\gamma_1(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega)T + \frac{\gamma_2(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega)}{T}] \quad (19)$$

where $M(\mathbf{Q})$ is a factor dependent on the electron-phonon coupling constant, the sound velocity and the ultrasound wave-vector. A measurement of $\alpha_S(\mathbf{Q}, T)$ and a comparison of the two terms contributing to it would enable an extraction of the parameter $X(\mathbf{Q}) = \frac{\gamma_2(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega)}{\gamma_1(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega)}$. The poorly known factor $M(\mathbf{Q})$ exactly cancels out. On taking the ratio of $X(\mathbf{Q})$ evaluated at two different wave vectors we obtain an expression that depends only on the parameter $\frac{v_F}{v_\Delta}$ and the wave vectors $\mathbf{Q}_1$ and $\mathbf{Q}_2$ respectively. For the sake of illustration we have computed $X_{node}(\mathbf{Q}_1)/X_{antinode}(\mathbf{Q}_2)$ for $\mathbf{Q}_1$ along the nodal direction and $\mathbf{Q}_2$ along the antinodal direction. We find

$$X_{node}(\mathbf{Q}_1)/X_{antinode}(\mathbf{Q}_2) = \frac{2Q_1^2/Q_2^2(v_F/v_\Delta)(1 + 2v_\Delta/v_F)}{((v_F/v_\Delta)^2 + 1)((v_F/v_\Delta)^2 + 2)} \quad (20)$$

Thus the measurement of $\alpha_S(\mathbf{Q}, T)$ in the regime ($10^{-3}K \ll k_BT \ll \Delta_0$) provides an useful method to extract v_F/v_Δ without use of $\alpha_S(\mathbf{Q}, T_c)$ whose calculation necessitates assumptions about the existence of quasi-particles at T_c with a circular Fermi surface.

Finally let us recapitulate the main points of this paper. We have calculated the UAC for a d-wave superconductor. We have included the effects of processes involving creation or annihilation of a pair of quasi-particles which are non zero because of the soft excitation spectrum of a d-wave superconductor. In the ultra-low temperature regime we find that these processes make a substantial contribution, which is linear in T , to the UAC. In the low temperature regime we find a small $1/T$ contribution to the UAC. We indicate how this can be used to extract the parameter v_F/v_Δ . A drawback of our work is that we have carried out calculations in the clean limit which makes it inapplicable to all but the cleanest of samples. Yet another drawback is that we assume the existence of quasi-particles of spectral weight unity, thus neglecting all effects coming from the incoherent part of the

spectral function seen in ARPES experiments¹⁷.

- ¹ R. W. Morse, "Ultrasonic Attenuation in Metals at Low Temperatures", *Progress in Cryogenics*, **Vol. I**, ed. K. Mendelssohn (Heywood, London, 1959)p. 219.
- ² I.Vekhter, E.J. Nicol and J.P. Carbotte, *Phys. Rev.* **B59**, 7123 (1999).
- ³ W.C.Wu and J.P.Carbotte, *Phys. Rev.* **B60**, 14943 (1999).
- ⁴ T.Gupta and D.M.Gaitonde, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **B15**, 269 (2001).
- ⁵ J.Moreno and P.Coleman, *Phys. Rev.* **B53**, R2995 (1996).
- ⁶ M.B.Walker, M.F.Smith and K.V.Samokhin *cond mat/0105109* (unpublished).
- ⁷ S.N. Coppersmith and R.A. Klemm, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***56**, 1870(1986).
- ⁸ P.J. Hirschfeld et. al., *Sol. Stat. Commun.* **59**, 111 (1986).
- ⁹ S. Schmitt-Rink, K.Miyake and C.M.Varma, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 2575 (1986).
- ¹⁰ H. Monien et. al., *Sol. Stat. Commun.* **63**, 263 (1987).
- ¹¹ M.J.Graf, S.K.Yip and J.A.Sauls, *Phys. Rev.* **B62**, 14393 (2000).
- ¹² S. Bhattacharya et al, *Phys. Rev.* **B37**, 5901 (1988).
- ¹³ D.J.Bishop et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 1009 (1984).
- ¹⁴ B.Batlogg et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 1319 (1985).
- ¹⁵ A.Hosseini et al, *cond mat/9811041* (unpublished).
- ¹⁶ A.Erb, E.Walker and R. Fluukiger, *Physica* **C258**, 9 (1996); R.Liang, D.A.Bonn and W.N.Hardy,ibid. **C304**, 105 (1998).
- ¹⁷ M.Randeria et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 4951 (1995).
- ¹⁸ J.F.Annett, N.Goldenfeld and A.J.Leggett,*Physical Properties of High Temperature Superconductors*, **Vol-5**, ed. D.M.Ginsberg (World Scientific, Singapore,1996), p. 375.
- ¹⁹ P.A.Lee and X.G.Wen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 4111 (1997).
- ²⁰ A.Paramakanti, M.Randeria, T.V.Ramakrishnan and S.S.Mandal, *Phys. Rev.* **B62**, 6786 (2000).
- ²¹ M.R.Norman et al, *Phys. Rev.* **B52**, 615(1993).
- ²² V.N.Kostur, J.K.Bhattacharjee, and R.A.Ferrell, *J.E.T.P. Lett.* **61**, 560 (1995).
- ²³ T.Wolenski and J.C.Swihart, *Physica* **C253**, 266 (1995).